

Divide:

# Differences in Rural and Urban Americans' Trust of Scientists

Ginger Orton, M.S.; Laura Fischer, Ph.D.; Cheng-Xian Yang, M.S.; Lauri Baker, Ph.D.



TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY Davis College Agricultural Education & Communications





Center for Public Issues Education







AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION AND COMMUNICATION

# Introduction

An individual's **trust in scientists** is **related to** their attitude toward scientific issues, such as **believing in** climate change (Myers et al., 2017), complying with disease guidelines (Plohl & Musil, 2021), and getting the COVID-19 vaccine (Allington et al., 2021; Kossowska et al., 2021; Muğaloğlu et al., 2022).

**Rural Americans have shown comparatively lower** trust in science and scientists than those in urban **areas** (Baker et al., 2021; Krause, 2019).



Notes: (1 = completely distrust to 5 = completely trust) \* = significant group differences at the .001 level

## Conclusions

Our results show rural audiences have less trust in scientists than their urban **counterparts.** These findings support the research on trust in science (Baker et al., 2021; Krause, 2021) but show novel understanding of trust in specific scientists.

Stronger, more engaging science communication should be designed for rural audiences to build trust in both production and impact scientists and increase science literacy (McCright et al., 2013).

## Methods

The trust-in-scientists scale (McCright et al., 2013;  $\alpha = 0.80$ ) was used to collect data from **1,774 Americans** (rural = 751; urban = 1,023) from April 20 to June 7, 2022 via an **online survey instrument**. A series of paired samples **t-tests** were used to **compare differences** in level of **trust** between **rural** and **urban** audiences across production and impact scientists.

### Resulta

Paired Samples T-Test: Between Group Mean Differences in Trust in Scientists (N = 1,774)

Environmental Scientist\* (p=<.001, d=-.203) Oceanographers\* (p=<.001, d=-.203 Wildlife Ecologists\* (p=<.001, d=-.206) Climate Scientists\* (p=<.001, d=-.179) Epidemiologists\* (p=<.001, d=-.190) Public Health Scientists\* (p=<.001, d=-.294) Materials Scientists (p=.006, d=-.116) Agricultural Scientists (p=.174, d=-.046) Petroleum Geologists (p=.339, d=.021) Polymer Chemists (p=.016, d=-.105) Industrial Chemists (p=.076, d=-.072) Food Scientists\* (p=<.001, d=-.203)



